




University of Colorado Denver

CU Denver CEDC Building & North Classroom Reno
Project Number: 22-103067

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR CxA SERVICES STEP 1 - SUBMITTAL SCORING RESULTS 10/14/2022

	Wt	Affiliated Engineers, Inc.		Ambient Energy		Argo Systems		Cator Ruma & Associates		Group 14 Engineering		Iconergy		McKinstry Essention LLC		Optimized Systems		Specialized Engineering Solutions		0		
		Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	
Qualifications and relevant individual experience.	1	3	3.5	10.5	4.1	12.2	1.5	4.5	2.8	8.3	4.4	13.1	3.3	9.8	4.1	12.2	3.3	9.8	3.5	10.5	0.0	0.0
Unique knowledge of key team members relating to the project.		3	3.0	9.0	3.8	11.3	1.0	3.0	3.0	9.0	4.1	12.2	3.3	9.8	4.1	12.3	3.5	10.5	3.5	10.5	0.0	0.0
Experience on projects as a team.		1	3.3	3.3	4.1	4.1	1.0	1.0	3.3	3.3	4.4	4.4	3.0	3.0	4.1	4.1	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	0.0	0.0
Key staff involvement in project management and on-site presence.		3	3.5	10.5	4.1	12.2	1.0	3.0	3.0	9.0	4.3	13.0	3.3	9.8	4.1	12.3	3.3	9.8	3.0	9.0	0.0	0.0
Time commitment of key staff.		2	3.3	6.5	3.8	7.5	1.0	2.0	3.3	6.5	4.1	8.2	3.0	6.0	4.1	8.2	3.5	7.0	3.5	7.0	0.0	0.0
Are the lines of authority and coordination clearly identified	2	1	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.4	0.8	0.8	2.8	2.8	3.8	3.8	2.8	2.8	3.8	3.8	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	0.0
Are essential management functions identified?		2	3.3	6.5	3.1	6.2	0.8	1.5	2.8	5.5	3.9	7.7	2.8	5.5	3.5	7.0	3.0	6.0	3.3	6.5	0.0	0.0
Are the functions effectively integrated (e.g., subconsultants' roles delineated?)		2	2.8	5.5	3.1	6.3	0.8	1.5	2.5	5.0	3.8	7.7	2.8	5.5	3.2	6.3	3.0	6.0	3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0
Current and projected work load.		3	2.8	8.3	3.5	10.4	0.8	2.3	2.8	8.3	3.8	11.3	2.8	8.3	3.8	11.3	3.3	9.8	3.3	9.8	0.0	0.0
Experience of the key staff and firm with projects of similar scope and complexity.	3	3	3.3	9.8	3.1	9.2	0.8	2.3	2.5	7.5	4.7	14.1	3.3	9.8	4.4	13.1	3.3	9.8	3.5	10.5	0.0	0.0
Demonstrated success on past projects of similar scope and complexity.		3	3.3	9.8	3.2	9.5	2.3	6.8	2.5	7.5	4.7	14.1	2.8	8.3	4.4	13.1	3.0	9.0	3.5	10.5	0.0	0.0
References.		2	3.3	6.5	2.1	4.2	0.8	1.5	2.0	4.0	3.1	6.3	2.5	5.0	2.9	5.8	3.3	6.5	2.5	5.0	0.0	0.0
Budget methodology/cost control.	4	1	2.5	2.5	3.8	3.8	0.8	0.8	3.0	3.0	3.8	3.8	1.5	1.5	3.4	3.4	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
Quality control methodology.		2	2.5	5.0	3.4	6.8	0.8	1.5	2.8	5.5	3.8	7.6	3.0	6.0	3.8	7.5	3.0	6.0	3.3	6.5	0.0	0.0
Schedule maintenance methodology.		1	3.3	3.3	2.5	2.5	0.8	0.8	2.5	2.5	3.8	3.8	2.5	2.5	3.8	3.8	3.0	3.0	3.3	3.3	0.0	0.0
Proximity of firm's office as it may affect cordiation with state's PM and project location	5	1	3.5	3.5	4.1	4.1	2.0	2.0	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.4	2.8	2.8	3.3	3.3	0.0	0.0
Firm's familiarity with the project area (demonstrate)		2	2.8	5.5	4.1	8.2	0.8	1.5	2.5	5.0	5.0	10.0	3.0	6.0	3.5	6.9	2.3	4.5	3.3	6.5	0.0	0.0
Knowledge of the local oabor and material markets (demonstrate)		1	1.8	1.8	2.8	2.8	0.8	0.8	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.3	3.2	3.2	2.5	2.5	1.3	1.3	0.0	0.0
Total				121		136		40		107		160		113		149		122		124		0

	Wt	Rating	Score
	1	3	0.0
		3	0.0
		1	0.0
		3	0.0
		2	0.0
	2	1	0.0
		2	0.0
		2	0.0
		3	0.0
	3	3	0.0
		3	0.0
		2	0.0
	4	1	0.0
		2	0.0
		1	0.0
	5	1	0.0
		2	0.0
		1	0.0
Total			0



The top THREE (3) CxA firms will be invited to participate in the oral interivew round.
Thank you for everyone who submitted for this project.